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**Introduction**

Primary intestinal tumors account for less than 10% of all neoplasms in dogs and may be of epithelial, neuroendocrine, hematopoietic, or mesenchymal origin.1 Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) are a specific group of mesenchymal neoplasms that have been reported in humans, dogs, and other species.2-5 Historically, these tumors were misclassified as leiomyosarcomas or leiomyomas in dogs due to their similar histological features, but in recent studies 30-70% of gastrointestinal mesenchymal tumors were reclassified as GIST based on immunohistochemical staining (IHC).2-4 They are pleomorphic tumors characterized by the expression of c-kit protein (CD117 antigen) which is a receptor tyrosine kinase encoded by the c-kit proto-oncogene.2-5 These tumors are thought to arise from interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC) which are intestinal pacemaker cells that on light microscope have characteristics of both smooth muscle and neural differentiation. Neoplastic ICC can preferentially express one, both, or neither of these features, thus accounting for the variants of GIST: smooth muscle, neural, mixed or anaplastic.2,5,6 In humans, the development of GIST is largely driven by gain-of-function mutations in the c-kit gene or less commonly the platelet-derived growth factor receptor-alpha (PDGFRA) gene.6,7 Several and similar activating mutations of the c-kit proto-oncogene, mainly located on exon 11, have been identified in human and dogs with these kind of tumors.3,5
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**Summary**

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) are a specific group of mesenchymal tumors characterized and distinguishable from other mesenchymal tumors by the expression of c-kit (CD117 antigen). The objective of this study is to characterize clinical and pathological data associated with canine GIST presented at our hospital between 2000 and 2018. Six cases were retrospectively enrolled and clinical data reviewed. This kind of tumors occurred in old dogs, clinical signs were no specific and up to 50% of dogs were asymptomatic at presentation. All tumor samples submitted after surgery yielded positive results on immunohistochemistry for CD117. Five out of 6 tumors were located at the cecum. Only one dog had a recurrence showing hypoglycemia presumptively associated with GIST, which is a rare paraneoplastic syndrome poorly described in dogs. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been effective in the treatment of canine GIST, although no standardized protocols are currently available.

In conclusion, our findings were similar to previously reported ones in which GIST have been defined as a specific clinical and pathological entity, located mainly in the cecum and with a broad clinical spectrum from indolent cases to those with metastasis.
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Therefore, it is thought that the pathogenesis is very similar in both species, albeit no mutations on PDGFRA gene have been identified in dogs.1,7 

These neoplasms can arise from the omentum, mesentry, retroperitoneum and anywhere in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract.1,5,8 In dogs most GIST arise from large intestine, mainly from the cecum,2,4 whereas in humans are mostly located in stomach and small intestine.6,8 These tumors have a very broad clinical behavior from asymptomatic cases to those that occur with metastasis, being the liver the most common location for metastasis.1,6,9 The c-kit expression is the hallmark of these tumors and the gold standard of its diagnosis in dogs and humans.1,4,12 However, studies estimate that up to 15% of human GIST stain negative or only weakly positive for c-kit protein.10 These tumors, named wild-type GIST,7 are clinicopathologically indistinct from c-kit-positive GIST.7,10 Studies in humans have identified DOG1 (discovered on gastrointestinal stromal tumors protein 1) as a highly sensitive and specific marker for human GIST.7,10 A recent report suggested that a combined immunohistochemical staining assessment for c-kit and DOG1 is most sensitive for diagnosis of these tumors in dogs.11 Complete resection, together with tumor-free margins and avoidance of tumor rupture, remain the best option for a curative approach for resectable canine and human tumors.1,2,5,12 However, above 50% of human patients ultimately experience recurrence or metastasis after surgical resection and about one half of GIST are overtly metastatic at presentation.3,8,12 Tumor sizes, mitotic index, presence of rupture and tumor site are considered as the most important parameters for human patients to predict clinical behavior after surgery.6,8,12 No conclusive data are currently available to predict the clinical behavior of GIST in dogs after surgery.1,2,5,12 In human medicine adjunctive therapy based on tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) is considered the standard of care for patients with high risk for metastasis after surgery, presented with metastasis and for non-resectable or recurring tumors.6,8,12 In a recent case report of one dog with non-resectable tumor receiving imatinib (Glivec®, Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) a partial remission for 140 days was achieved.14 In other case report one dog with recurrent metastatic GIST had a complete remission after two months of treatment with imatinib. This dog died 4 years later due to pneumonia with no evidence of recurrence.15 Toceranib phosphate (Palladia®; Zoetis, Parsippany, New Jersey) was successful for disease control over 9 months in a dog with metastatic GIST.16 A retrospective study of 27 canine GIST showed evident clinical benefits of toceranib.17 Therefore, TKI have shown clinical benefit in cases of canine GIST as well, although no standard protocols have been published.

The aims of this study were to describe the prevalence, clinical and pathological features associated with GIST in dogs at our referral hospital and to compare these data with previously reported to establish a standardized clinical approach for future canine GIST cases.

**Material and Methods**

A retrospective search of canine GIST was performed in the files of the pathology service of the veterinary school since 2000 to 2018. The keywords used were “mesenchymal tumors”, “c-kit” and “gastrointestinal stromal tumors”. Inclusion criteria were dogs with a diagnosis of GIST based on histological features and immunohistochemistry (c-kit positive). For each dog the following data were reviewed and recorded: signalment, clinical signs at presentation, blood test results, imaging findings, surgical findings, histological findings, postsurgical treatment and follow-up information after surgery and survival time. The information was obtained from internal medicine service records and when necessary from the owners and referring veterinarians through email or phone calls. The lack of some information was not an exclusion criterion.

**Results**

Six dogs with a histological confirmed diagnosis of GIST and c-kit positive results were enrolled in the study. One dog previously diagnosed of leiomyoma was diagnosed with GIST at the beginning of the study after immunohistochemical assessment. There were 4 females and 2 males of the following breeds: Bobtail, American Pitbull, American Staffordshire Terrier, Spanish Water Dog, Labrador, and Yorkshire Terrier. Mean age at presentation was 10.8 years ranging from 6.5 to 12.1 years. Clinical signs at presentation were nonspecific in 3 dogs including anorexia (3), lethargy (3), vomiting (3), abdominal pain and enlargement (3), diarrhea (1), weight loss (1) and fever (1). Three dogs had no any clinical sign related to the GIST (Table 1).

Blood tests did not show any relevant abnormalities. In all 6 dogs ultrasonography revealed the presence of abdominal masses (Fig. 1) suggesting a diagnosis of neoplastic disease. A clear link between abdominal mass and specific abdominal structure was undetermined in 3 out of 6 cases, although in one dog the mass was thought to be in contact with intestinal loops (Table 2). Thoracic radiographs performed in 4 out of 6 dogs did not show any evidence of metastasis. All dogs underwent laparotomy and two dogs were previously diagnosed with abdominal perforation, which was confirmed at surgery. One of these two dogs was...
euthanatized during surgery because of the presence of gross metastasis in the liver and omentum. These findings were missed on the abdominal ultrasound and were subsequently confirmed at necropsy. One of the GIST was located on jejunum, and the other 5 on cecum (Fig. 2). In all 5 dogs, complete macroscopic resection was achieved. Peri-operative complications were not seen in any case except for one dog that developed acute pancreatitis, but he had a good outcome being discharged 6 days later. Immunohistochemical assessment yielded generalized positive results for c-kit in all samples, thus confirming the diagnosis of GIST (Fig. 3). Only one out of 6 tumors was incomplete resected based on light microscope. Specific histo-
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**Table 1. Summary of individual signalment, clinical data and tumor location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number case</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Clinical signs</th>
<th>Site of tumor</th>
<th>Metastasis</th>
<th>Relapse</th>
<th>Adjunctive treatment</th>
<th>Survival time*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pitbull</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Cecum</td>
<td>Yes: mesenteric lymph nodes</td>
<td>1 month after surgery</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>American Staff</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Cecum</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Spanish Water Dog</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>V, lethargy and abdominal pain</td>
<td>Jejunum</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Imatinib for 6 months</td>
<td>21 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yorkshire Terrier</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>V, D, weight loss, abdominal pain and enlargement</td>
<td>Cecum</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Palladia for 1 year combined with firocoxib</td>
<td>29 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Labrador</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>V, lethargy, fever, abdominal pain and enlargement</td>
<td>Cecum</td>
<td>Yes: liver and omentum</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bobtail</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Cecum</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>43 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*after surgery

**Table 2. Summary of individual abdominal ultrasound findings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number case</th>
<th>Tumor size (in cm)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Tumor origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>2.7 x 3.7</td>
<td>In the right cranial abdomen, corresponding with the anatomical region of cecum, an abdominal mass with well-defined margins and mainly hypoechogenic is observed</td>
<td>Cecum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>2.5 x 4.5</td>
<td>A well-defined abdominal mass with regular margins and predominately hypoechogenic is identified in the middle-cranial abdomen with no clear organ origin</td>
<td>No identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>2.6 x 1.5</td>
<td>In the cranial abdomen an intestinal loop with loss of stratification (possible jejunum) and 2.6x1.5 cm localized thickening was seen. In contact with this region an heterogeneous, irregular and 4.4 x 3.6 cm in size structure is identified. Possibly it is consistent with an intestinal perforation. There is mild abdominal effusion</td>
<td>Jejunum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>8 x 5</td>
<td>Heterogeneous, very large mass localized in middle abdomen is seen. The mass is producing a “mass effect” in the surrounding abdominal organs. No clear organ origin is identified</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>5.6 x 6.1</td>
<td>In the right middle abdomen there was a thickened intestinal loop with stratification lost. The adjacent mesentery is hyperechogenic and adhered to this region. Mesenteric lymph nodes are enlarged, hypoechogenic and heterogeneous (splanic LN 1cm, jejunal LN 2 cm). Severe abdominal effusion is noted</td>
<td>Cecum or ileocolic valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 6</td>
<td>6 x 4</td>
<td>An heterogenous mass localized in the middle abdomen is seen without identifiable origin</td>
<td>Intestinal loops</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LN: lymph nodes.
logical details are summarized in Table 3. Adjunctive therapy with TKI after surgery was recommended in 3 cases and was declined in one case. One dog underwent treatment course of imatinib mesylate (Glivec®, Novartis Pharma AG, Basilea) at a dose of 12 mg/kg/PO/SID for 6 months and no adverse effects related to treatment were seen. The remaining dog received a combination of firocoxib (Previcox®, Merial Laboratorios, S.A., San Cugat del Vallés) and toceranib (Palладia®, Zoetis, Parsippany, New Jersey) at a dose of 2.5 mg/kg/PO three times per week for 1 year. The treatment was discontinued due to side effects including vomiting and diarrhea. One dog had a relapse 36 days after surgery. Blood test at that moment revealed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Tumor size (cm)</th>
<th>Mitotic index</th>
<th>Necrosis</th>
<th>Status of resection</th>
<th>c-kit (CD117)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>5 x 3</td>
<td>0-1 mitosis/HPF</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clean margins +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>4.5 x 3</td>
<td>1-2 mitosis/40x</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clean margins +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4 mitosis/HPF</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clean margins +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>7.5 x 5</td>
<td>1-2 mitosis/HPF</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clean margins +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Neoplastic cells on margins +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 6</td>
<td>5 x 6</td>
<td>2 mitosis/HPF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Clean margins +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Ultrasound appearance of one of the GIST located on cecum.

Figure 2. Macroscopic aspect of the GIST showed in Figure 1.

Figure 3. Immunohistochemistry c-kit. (A) Histopathological appearance of one GIST. It shows marked and diffuse positive immunohistochemical staining for c-kit (CD117), x40. (B) Closed image x400.
hypoglycemia and abdominal ultrasound findings suggested metastatic process located on mesenteric lymph nodes although it was not histologically confirmed. Initially hypoglycemia was controlled with a continuous 5% dextrose infusion and treatment with TIK was proposed as long-term therapy, but owners declined further treatment. Mean survival time for the 6 dogs (including the one euthanized at surgery) was 17.5 months. Mean survival time for the 5 dogs was 20.6 months (range from 1 to 43 months). Two of them were still alive by the time of writing this paper and did not show any evidence of relapse.

Discussion

The true incidence of canine GIST has not been established but the occurrence at our hospital seems to be lower compared to other studies. One dog diagnosed with leiomyoma was reclassified with GIST during the study. Therefore, the prevalence of these tumors at our hospital could be underestimated as reported in earlier studies. The signalment and clinical signs at presentation were consistent with previous reports. Subclinical cases of GIST are relatively frequent in humans and up to 75% of tumors were an incidental finding at necropsy in one study in dogs. Similarly, in our dogs 50% of tumors were an incidental finding.

In our study abdominal ultrasound was 100% sensitive for diagnosis of abdominal tumor disease, but failed to both determine the anatomical origin of the tumor in three cases and to detect liver and omental metastasis in one case. Nevertheless, in dogs abdominal ultrasound has been reported as very accurate test to identify the actual tumor origin and to predict metastasis. In human medicine, ultrasonography is the first line diagnostic test in cases of GIST but in some cases, such as large abdominal masses without clear anatomic, computed tomography (CT) is the best option. Therefore, in dogs with large abdominal masses CT should be considered prior to surgery. One study in dogs revealed that the presence of an irregular margin pattern in ultrasound could be a marker for malignant behavior, similar to humans. The same authors suggested that internal echogenicity could be a prognostic marker for metastasis as tumors with large internal hypechogenic areas (indicative of necrosis areas) were categorized as high-risk. Moreover, these tumors tended to be larger in size than types categorized as intermediate or low-risk. These results agreed with earlier studies reporting that areas of necrosis positively correlated with tumor size and larger tumor diameter could be a negative prognostic indicator in dogs. However, these studies had some limitations, so these conclusions should be assumed with caution. Similar to other studies, thoracic metastasis were not seen in our patients.

In our study 5 of 6 tumors were localized on the cecum, similar to previous reports. Complete resection was achieved in 5 out of 5 dogs with primary localized disease and recurrence rate after surgery was 20% which is similar to some reports and higher than in others. In humans, selection of the patient for adjunctive treatment after surgery is based on a risk scheme. In dogs no conclusive parameters have been reported to predict the clinical behaviour of GIST after surgery, except for some potential markers. However, due to the high rate of local and distant recurrence in humans (35% to 60% of tumors were an incidental finding at necropsy in one study in dogs). Similarly, in our dogs 50% of tumors were an incidental finding.

In this study only one dog had a relapse showing weakness and lethargy 36 days after surgery. Blood test did not display abnormalities other than hypoglycemia. Abdominal ultrasound findings were consistent with mesenteric lymph nodes metastasis although these findings were not confirmed by histopathology. In human medicine hypoglycemia in the setting of decrease insulin levels is suggestive of a diagnosis of non-islet cell tumor hypoglycemia (NICTH). This paraneoplastic syndrome is very rare in humans and secondary to increased production of an altered form of insulin-like growth factor (IGF-2), named “big” IGF-2. In our patient neither the insulin levels nor
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